

RECEIVED
U.S. COURT OF APPEALS
UNITED STATES COURT OF APPEALS
FOR THE TENTH CIRCUIT
2011 JUN 13 PM 12:44

U.S. COMMODITY FUTURES)
TRADING COMMISSION and)
OKLAHOMA DEPARTMENT OF)
SECURITIES ex rel. IRVING . L)
FAUGHT,)

Plaintiffs,)

v.)

PRESTIGE VENTURES CORP., a)
Panamanian corporation, FEDERATED)
MANAGEMENT GROUP, INC. A Texas)
corporation, KENNETH WAYNE LEE an)
individual, and SIMON YANG (a/k/a)
XIAO YANG a/k/a SIMON CHEN), an)
individual,)

Defendants, and)

SHEILA M. LEE, an individual, DAVID A.)
LEE, an individual, and DARREN A. LEE,)
an individual,)

Relief Defendants,)

No. 10-6276, CFTC, et al v. Lee, et al

APPELLANTS MOTION FOR 30
DAY EXTENSION TO FILE
SECOND BRIEF AND
PERMISSION TO FILE JOINTLY

Appellants Kenneth Lee, Sheila Lee, David Lee, and Darren Lee hereby request a thirty day extension to file Appellants' Second Brief. Currently the Appellants' Second Brief is due June 15, 2011. If the court grants the Motion for the thirty day extension, then the second brief will be due July 14, 2011

Support

1. Appellants are requesting to file the second brief jointly. The extension allows Appellants the time that is necessary to prepare the second brief.
2. The Appellees filed 42 pages in their brief and the thirty days will allow Appellants to properly address the extended pages.
3. Appellees CFTC and ODS have no objections to Appellants request for a 30 day extension..
4. Appellants are having to verify exhibits that the Appellees have failed to verify over the year that Appellees have had those exhibits. The thirty day extension will allow the time for Appellants to further prove the facts and the merits of appealing the District Courts un-American judgment and order.

CONCLUSION


Appellants' humbly request that the Court of Appeals grant the thirty day extension and allow the Appellants to file the second brief jointly. This is a very

complicated process for someone who has zero experience in the legal field. The Appellees have unlimited lawyers and resources and I find it very disappointing that a Federal District Court would allow the Appellees to dictate what the District Court should do. The District Court questioned the stretching of our Due Process rights and allowed the CFTC to manipulate my family into destitution by not allowing our voices to be heard in Court. If that is not enough merits for an appeal, I failed to realize the horrible path that this, once, great nation has taken. Justice used to stand for truth.

Respectfully Submitted,



Kenneth Lee
Homeless



Darren Lee
2216 Kings Gate Ln
Mount Pleasant, SC 29466



Sheila Lee
Homeless



David Lee
Homeless

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

I hereby certify that, on June 10, 2011, I caused one copy of the to be served
by email on the following:

Lynn Bulan
1155 21st Street NW
Washington, DC 20581
lbulan@cftc.gov
Attorney for Plaintiff-Appellee,
Commodity futures Trading Commission

Terra Shamas Bonnell
Oklahoma Department of Securities
120 North Robinson Avenue, Suite 860
Oklahoma City, OK 73102
tbonnell@securities.ok.gov
Attorney for Plaintiff-Appellee
Oklahoma Department of Securities, ex rel