


STATE OF OKLAHOMA  
DEPARTMENT OF SECURITIES  
THE FIRST NATIONAL CENTER  
120 NORTH ROBINSON, SUITE 860  
OKLAHOMA CITY, OKLAHOMA 73102



In the Matter of:

Geary Securities, Inc. *aka* Capital West Securities, Inc.;  
Keith D. Geary; Norman Frager; and CEMP, LLC,

Respondents.

ODS File No. 09-141

**MOTION FOR RECONSIDERATION AND VACATION OF ORDER DATED  
AUGUST 4, 2011 CONCERNING SUBPOENA ENFORCEMENT**

TO: Irving L. Faught, Administrator of the Oklahoma Department of Securities  
c/o Shaun Mullins, Attorney for the Administrator

Respondents Geary Securities, Inc., Keith D. Geary, and CEMP, LLC (the “Geary Respondents”) respectfully request that the Administrator (A) issue an Order vacating the “Order Denying Motion to Reconsider” filed August 4, 2011 (the “Inaccurate Order”), and (B) promptly resume his efforts to enforce the subpoenas previously issued and served on Timothy Headington (the “Headington Subpoenas”). The Geary Respondents show the following in support of this request:

1. The Inaccurate Order directly and materially deprives the Geary Respondents of their right to discovery, due process and fundamental fairness as guaranteed by the Department’s Rules and applicable statutory and case law.
2. The Inaccurate Order denies the Geary Respondents’ Motion to Reconsider (filed July 13, 2011), which requested that the Administrator reconsider his refusal to proceed with enforcement of

the Headington Subpoenas. The Inaccurate Order was issued and filed despite the fact the Administrator failed to respond to such Motion within 10 days as required by the Department's own Rules. See, Rule 660:2-9-3(c)(2). In light of the Administrator's failure to timely respond and act, the Geary Respondents filed their *Application to Deem Motion to Reconsider Confessed and Granted* on August 1, 2011. The Administrator ignored such Application and, instead, issued the Inaccurate Order on August 4, 2011.

3. The Inaccurate Order purports to be based on an alleged defect in service of the Headington Subpoenas. The Inaccurate Order deliberately ignores the following facts that are undisputable, compelling and raise serious issues concerning the impartiality and fundamental fairness of this process.
  - a. The Headington Subpoenas were issued by the Hearing Officer without objection by any party, including the Administrator, the Department of Securities (the "Department"), or its Enforcement Division and counsel.<sup>1</sup>

---

<sup>1</sup> Headington in fact retained counsel after receiving Respondents' Subpoenas. Headington's counsel acknowledged Headington's receipt of the Subpoenas, as evidenced by the email attached hereto as Exhibit 1. Headington's counsel then filed a pleading in this matter on behalf of Headington and others entitled "Motion to Quash and for Protective Order", which specifically addressed the Subpoenas. The Motion to Quash raised numerous objections on behalf of Headington and others (all of which were overruled by the Hearing Officer); however, the validity of service **was never raised in any form**. Now – approximately 5 months later – the Administrator has inexplicably decided to raise the validity of service as an excuse for his refusal to proceed with and complete the process of judicial enforcement of the Headington Subpoenas.

- b. Pursuant to the Hearing Officer's directives, the Geary Respondents arranged for and accomplished service of the Headington Subpoenas by certified mail, restricted delivery, in full compliance with applicable statutes.
- c. When it became necessary and consistent with the Hearing Officer's Order dated March 21, 2011, the Geary Respondents applied to the Administrator to enforce the Headington Subpoenas. *See, Application for Judicial Enforcement of Subpoenas* (filed March 25, 2011).
- d. On April 5, 2011, the Administrator conducted a hearing and expressly granted the Geary Respondents' request and committed to seek and obtain judicial enforcement of multiple subpoenas – including the Headington Subpoenas – through the District Court of Oklahoma County.
- e. The Inaccurate Order is worded in a way intended to create the inaccurate impression that the Administrator was unaware how the Headington Subpoenas were served at the time the enforcement action was initiated in Oklahoma County District Court on April 6, 2011. Such an impression is false and materially misleading for the following reasons:
  - On April 5, 2011 – **the day prior to the Administrator filing the subpoena enforcement action** - counsel for the Administrator asked counsel for the Geary Respondents to provide copies of the certified mail return receipt cards (the “green cards”) for Headington and other subpoena recipients so he could verify valid service had been obtained before initiating the enforcement action.

- On April 5, 2011 – **the day prior to the Administrator filing the subpoena enforcement action** - counsel for the Geary Respondents provided copies of the green cards for Headington and other subpoena recipients, including the very green card for Headington that is the sole purported basis for the Administrator’s issuance of the Inaccurate Order. See, April 5, 2011 e-mail (with copies of green cards attached) from counsel for the Geary Respondents to the Administrator’s counsel (Exhibit 2 hereto).
- On April 6, 2011 – **having previously requested and received the Headington green cards** – counsel for the Administrator initiated the subpoena enforcement action in District Court seeking to enforce multiple subpoenas, including the Headington Subpoenas.
- On May 5, 2011, the District Court of Oklahoma County denied the enforcement request concerning the Headington Subpoenas. The sole ground for denial was the fact Mr. Headington is a resident of the State of Texas. No one – including counsel for Mr. Headington who appeared at the hearing – objected on the basis of invalid service. Rather, the Court clearly stated that the Administrator could pursue and obtain enforcement of the Headington Subpoenas in Texas. Immediately following the hearing, counsel for the Administrator indicated the Administrator was weighing two options: (1) an appeal of the Court’s decision; or (2) take action in Texas to enforce the Headington Subpoenas. Nothing was said about a third option – not

pursuing enforcement due to allegedly defective service. The Geary Respondents can only speculate on how and when the misplaced “green card theory” was created as an excuse to abandon the subpoena enforcement process the Administrator previously committed to undertake and complete.

4. Service of the subpoenas on Headington was not defective. The Geary Respondents complied fully with the terms and requirements of the applicable statutory provisions. See, 12 O.S. 2004.1(B)(2). The statute requires, “Service of such a subpoena may be accomplished by mailing a copy thereof by certified mail with return receipt requested and delivery restricted to the person named in the subpoena.” In this case, the Geary Respondents **mailed a copy of the Subpoenas by certified mail with return receipt requested and delivery restricted to the person named in the Subpoena.**<sup>2</sup>

5. As stated in detail above, the Administrator’s actions and inactions are inconsistent, contradictory and effectively deprive the Geary Respondents of their rights to discovery, due process and fundamental fairness. It is abundantly clear that:

---

<sup>2</sup> Even if an unauthorized person signed for the subpoena, service is still good as the Geary Respondents properly followed the terms of the statute, and it is abundantly clear that counsel for Headington is clearly aware of the Subpoena, such that Headington also has notice. *See e.g., Coulson v. Owens*, 125 P.3d 1233, 2005 OK CIV APP 93, ¶ 14 (2005)(“ We further find no merit to the argument that, because the certified mail delivery was not designated “restricted,” service is somehow invalid. Under these facts, because there is no dispute that Defendant received the summons and petition, forwarded it to his insurance company which, in turn, gave it to local counsel, we hold service is valid. Defendant and his counsel were on notice of the pending lawsuit”).

- The Administrator unconditionally committed to enforce the Headington Subpoenas;
- The Geary Respondents lack standing to enforce the Headington Subpoenas;
- The Administrator abruptly abandoned his pursuit of enforcement of the Headington Subpoenas; and
- When challenged, the only reason the Administrator was able to advance for his abrupt change of direction is the misplaced, factually flawed argument that service was defective when, in fact, the Administrator was fully aware of the issuance and service of the Headington Subpoenas before he committed to pursue enforcement.

6. Time is of the essence. The Administrator is or should be well aware that counsel for the parties in this enforcement action are attempting to identify and schedule a date for the hearing on the merits. However, it is difficult, if not impossible, to accurately predict when this action will be ready for a hearing on the merits in light of the present and continuing uncertainty of the timing of discovery involving Mr. Headington pursuant to the Subpoenas which are the subject of this Motion. As a result, the Administrator's issuance of the Inaccurate Order is interfering in a significant way with the parties' scheduling efforts. In addition, the Administrator is well aware that the pendency of this enforcement action continues to interfere with Geary Securities' business operations. The Administrator is also well aware and has previously acknowledged the importance of discovery in this enforcement action. Absent the discovery granted and guaranteed by the Department's own Rules, the Geary Respondents ability to defend

themselves is unfairly and impermissibly compromised and they continue to be deprived of their rights to due process and fundamental fairness.

7. Out of an abundance of caution, the Geary Respondents are simultaneously requesting – by a separate filing - that the Hearing Officer issue new subpoenas to Mr. Headington and direct the Administrator to accomplish service of such Subpoenas. This simultaneous request is designed to: (a) protect the Geary Respondents' right to discovery, due process and fundamental fairness; and (b) eliminate any potential future argument or issue concerning the validity of service of the re-issued subpoenas by having such service accomplished by the Administrator. Unfortunately, this approach – if granted – will necessarily require additional time and expense that can and should be avoided by granting this Motion and complying with the following requested actions.

WHEREFORE, the Geary Respondents respectfully request that the Administrator immediately take the following action:

- A. Issue an Order vacating the Order entered on August 4, 2011; and
- B. Immediately resume and pursue all available action to obtain judicial enforcement of the Headington Subpoena.

Respectfully submitted,



Joe M. Hampton, OBA No. 11851

Amy J. Pierce, OBA No. 17980

A. Ainslie Stanford II, OBA No. 18843

**CORBYN HAMPTON PLLC**

One Leadership Square

211 North Robinson, Suite 1910

Oklahoma City, Oklahoma 73102

Telephone: (405) 239-7055

Facsimile: (405) 702-4348

Email: [jhampton@corbynhampton.com](mailto:jhampton@corbynhampton.com)

[apierce@corbynhampton.com](mailto:apierce@corbynhampton.com)

[astanford@corbynhampton.com](mailto:astanford@corbynhampton.com)

**ATTORNEYS FOR RESPONDENTS  
GEARY SECURITIES, INC., KEITH D.  
GEARY, AND CEMP, LLC**

**CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE**

I hereby certify that on August 5, 2011, a copy of the foregoing document was served on the following by electronic mail:

Hearing Officer Bruce Kohl, Esq.  
201 Camino del Norte  
Santa Fe, NM 87501


Brenda London, Oklahoma Department of Securities  
120 North Robinson, Suite 860  
Oklahoma City, OK 73102; and

Melanie Hall, Director of Enforcement  
Terra Shamas Bonnell, Enforcement Attorney  
Oklahoma Department of Securities  
120 North Robinson, Suite 860  
Oklahoma City, OK 73102;

Shaun Mullins, Esq.  
Oklahoma Department of Securities  
120 North Robinson, Suite 860  
Oklahoma City, OK 73102;

Donald A. Pape, Esq.  
Donald A. Pape, P.C.  
401 West Main Street, Suite 440  
Norman, OK 73069;

Susan Bryant  
[sbryant@bryantlawgroup.com](mailto:sbryant@bryantlawgroup.com)



Joe M. Hampton

# EXHIBIT

1

**From:** Ainslie Stanford  
**Sent:** Wednesday, February 23, 2011 9:46 AM  
**To:** 'John J. Schirger'  
**Cc:** Joe M. Hampton  
**Subject:** RE: Geary / Bank of Union and Headington

John:

Thank you for your response. As was stated my February 18 letter to you, we will certainly work with you and the witnesses to accommodate any scheduling issues that might arise. Please contact us upon your return to your office, so we can discuss whether the witnesses intend to comply. We believe Oklahoma law requires us to serve the subpoenas in the manner that we did. As a professional courtesy, we mailed you copies of these so that you would be aware of them.

Thanks,

A. Ainslie Stanford II  
Corbyn Hampton, PLLC  
211 N. Robinson, Suite 1910  
Oklahoma City, OK 73102  
Phone: (405) 239-7055  
Fax: (405) 702-4348  
[www.corbynhampton.com](http://www.corbynhampton.com)

This message is sent by a law firm and may contain information that is privileged or confidential. If you received this transmission in error, please notify the sender by reply e-mail and delete the message and any attachments.

---

**From:** John J. Schirger [mailto:JSchirger@millerschirger.com]  
**Sent:** Wednesday, February 23, 2011 7:43 AM  
**To:** Joe M. Hampton; Ainslie Stanford  
**Subject:** Geary / Bank of Union and Headington

I am out of the office in depositions but was forwarded the subpoena for records and for depositions. We obviously need time to look into this given that we just received it and I am out of town, so assume the compliance date of February 25 on the subpoena for records will not be met, if at all. Also, going forward, direct all such communications to me. You know I represent the Bank and Mr. Headington on this matter.

John

John J. Schirger  
**MILLER SCHIRGER LLC**  
800 W. 47th Street, Suite 630  
Kansas City, MO 64112  
General: 816-561-6500  
Direct: 816-561-6504  
Fax: 816-561-6501  
[jschirger@millerschirger.com](mailto:jschirger@millerschirger.com)

# EXHIBIT

2

**From:** Ainslie Stanford  
**Sent:** Tuesday, April 05, 2011 6:15 PM  
**To:** Shaun Mullins  
**Cc:** Joe M. Hampton  
**Subject:** RE: In the matter of Geary Securities, Inc. et al. ODS File No. 09-141

Shaun:

Attached are scanned copies of the green cards evidencing service of the five subpoenas at issue, those being the three Deposition Subpoenas for Mike Braun, John Shelley and Tim Headington, and the two Subpoenas Duces Tecum for Tim Headington and the Bank of Union (the employer of Mike Braun and John Shelley). As is indicated on the front of the green card for service on Tim Headington, his Subpoena Duces Tecum and his Deposition Subpoena were sent in the same mailing, which is why there are 4 green cards instead of 5.

Additionally, attached is an email I received from John Schirger, who has represented himself as counsel for bank, Mike Braun, John Shelley and Tim Headington in this matter. In his email, he indicates he has received the subpoenas for documents and records.

If it would be helpful, I can send you scanned copies of the issued, filed subpoenas that accompanied these green cards, but my understanding was that you did not want those at this time. Please let me know if there are any additional documents that would be of assistance in this matter.

Thanks,

A. Ainslie Stanford II  
Corbyn Hampton, PLLC  
211 N. Robinson, Suite 1910  
Oklahoma City, OK 73102  
Phone: (405) 239-7055  
Direct Dial: (405) 602-1867  
Fax: (405) 702-4348  
[www.corbynhampton.com](http://www.corbynhampton.com)

This message is sent by a law firm and may contain information that is privileged or confidential. If you received this transmission in error, please notify the sender by reply e-mail and delete the message and any attachments.

**Postal Service™**  
**CERTIFIED MAIL™ RECEIPT**  
 (Certified Mail Only; No Insurance Coverage Provided)

For more information visit our website at [www.usps.com](http://www.usps.com)

**OFFICIAL USE**

Postage	\$ .44
Certified Fee	2.80
Receipt Fee (Required)	2.30
Delivery Fee (Required)	4.50
Postage & Fees	\$ 10.04

Postmark  
Here

Mike Braun  
 2000 South Country Club Rd.  
 El Reno, OK 73036

August 2006 See Reverse for Instructions

**SENDER: COMPLETE THIS SECTION**

- Complete items 1, 2, and 3. Also complete item 4 if Restricted Delivery is desired.
- Print your name and address on the reverse so that we can return the card to you.
- Attach this card to the back of the mailpiece, or on the front if space permits.

1. Article Addressed to:

Mike Braun  
 2000 South Country Club Rd.  
 El Reno, OK 73036

2. Article Number

(Transfer from service label)

7010 0780 0002 1469 3086

PS Form 3811, February 2004

Domestic Return Receipt

102595-02-M-154

**COMPLETE THIS SECTION ON DELIVERY**

A. Signature

X *Mike Braun*  Agent  Addressee

B. Received by (Printed Name)

C. Date of Delivery

2-22

D. Is delivery address different from item 1?  Yes  No  
 If YES, enter delivery address below:

3. Service Type

- Certified Mail  Express Mail
- Registered  Return Receipt for Merchandise
- Insured Mail  C.O.D.

4. Restricted Delivery? (Extra Fee)

Yes

**It Provides:**

- Proof of mailing
- Confirmation of delivery kept by the Postal Service for two years

**Restrictions:**

May ONLY be combined with First-Class Mail® or Priority Mail®. Insurance is not available for any class of international mail.

NO POSTAGE NECESSARY IF MAILED IN THE UNITED STATES. POSTAGE COVERAGE IS PROVIDED with Certified Mail. For more information consider Insured or Registered Mail.

For a return fee, a Return Receipt may be requested to provide proof of mailing. For Return Receipt service, please complete and attach a Return Receipt (Form 3811) to the article and add applicable postage to cover the mailpiece "Return Receipt Requested". To receive a fee waiver for return receipt, a USPS postmark on your Certified Mail receipt is required.

For a return fee, delivery may be restricted to the addressee or authorized agent. Advise the clerk or mark the mailpiece with the "Restricted Delivery".

For a return fee, on the Certified Mail receipt is desired, please present the article to the post office for postmarking. If a postmark on the Certified Mail receipt is needed, detach and affix label with postage and mail.

Keep this receipt and present it when making an inquiry.

Post 2006 (Reverse) PSN 7530-02-000-9047

UNITED STATES POSTAL SERVICE



First-Class Mail  
 Postage & Fees Paid  
 USPS  
 Permit No. G-10

• Sender: Please print your name, address, and ZIP+4 in this box •

Nicole Rupp  
 CORBYN HAMPTON, PLLC  
 211 North Robinson, Suite 1910  
 Oklahoma City, Oklahoma 73102

*Depo Subpoena*



**Postal Service™**  
**CERTIFIED MAIL™ RECEIPT**  
*(First-Class Mail Only; No Insurance Coverage Provided)*

For more information, visit our website at [www.usps.com](http://www.usps.com)

**OFFICIAL USE**

Postage	\$ 1.61
Certified Fee	2.80
Receipt Fee (if Required)	2.30
Delivery Fee (if Required)	4.50
Postage & Fees	\$ 10.21

Postmark  
Here

The Bank of Union  
 Records Custodian  
 PO Box 1010  
 2000 South Country Club  
 El Reno, OK 73036

See for instructions

**SENDER: COMPLETE THIS SECTION**

- Complete items 1, 2, and 3. Also complete item 4 if Restricted Delivery is desired.
- Print your name and address on the reverse so that we can return the card to you.
- Attach this card to the back of the mailpiece, or on the front if space permits.

1. Article Addressed to:

The Bank of Union  
 Records Custodian  
 PO Box 1010  
 2000 South Country Club  
 El Reno, OK 73036

2. Article Number

(Transfer from service label)

7010 0780 0002 1469 3079

**COMPLETE THIS SECTION ON DELIVERY**

A. Signature

*x Nicole Rupp*  Agent  Addressee

B. Received by (Printed Name)

C. Date of Delivery

2-22

D. Is delivery address different from item 1?  Yes  
 If YES, enter delivery address below:  No

3. Service Type

- Certified Mail  Express Mail
- Registered  Return Receipt for Merchandise
- Insured Mail  C.O.D.

4. Restricted Delivery? (Extra Fee)

Yes

**Provides:**

Postmark  
 Receipt for your mailpiece  
 Delivery receipt kept by the Postal Service for two years

**Restrictions:**

Postage may ONLY be combined with First-Class Mail® or Priority Mail®. Insurance is not available for any class of international mail. **NO INSURANCE COVERAGE IS PROVIDED with Certified Mail.** For more information, consider Insured or Registered Mail. For an additional fee, a Return Receipt may be requested to provide proof of delivery. To obtain Return Receipt service, please complete and attach a Return Receipt (Form 3811) to the article and add applicable postage to cover the mailpiece. To receive a fee waiver for a Return Receipt, a USPS postmark on your Certified Mail receipt is required.

For an additional fee, delivery may be restricted to the addressee or an authorized agent. Advise the clerk or mark the mailpiece with the "Restricted Delivery" label.

When the Certified Mail receipt is desired, please present the mailpiece to the post office for postmarking. If a postmark on the Certified Mail receipt is needed, detach and affix label with postage and mail.

Save this receipt and present it when making an inquiry.

Postage paid by addressee (Reverse) PSN 7530-02-000-9047

UNITED STATES POSTAL SERVICE




First-Class Mail  
 Postage & Fees Paid  
 USPS  
 Permit No. G-10

• Sender: Please print your name, address, and ZIP+4 in this box •

Nicole Rupp  
 CORBYN HAMPTON, PLLC  
 211 North Robinson, Suite 1910  
 Oklahoma City, Oklahoma 73102

*Subpoena Duces Tecum*



**Postal Service™**  
**CERTIFIED MAIL™ RECEIPT**  
*(First-Class Mail Only; No Insurance Coverage Provided)*  
 For more information visit our website at [www.usps.com](http://www.usps.com)  
**OFFICIAL USE**

Postage	\$ .44
Certified Fee	2.80
Receipt Fee (Required)	2.30
Delivery Fee (Required)	4.50
Postage & Fees	\$ 10.04

Postmark  
Here

To: John Shelley  
 2000 South Country Club Rd.  
 El Reno, OK 73036

PS Form 3811, February 2004 See Reverse for Instructions

**SENDER: COMPLETE THIS SECTION**

- Complete items 1, 2, and 3. Also complete item 4 if Restricted Delivery is desired.
- Print your name and address on the reverse so that we can return the card to you.
- Attach this card to the back of the mailpiece, or on the front if space permits.

1. Article Addressed to:  
  
 John Shelley  
 2000 South Country Club Rd.  
 El Reno, OK 73036

2. Article Number (Transfer from service label) **7010 0780 0002 1469 3093**

**COMPLETE THIS SECTION ON DELIVERY**

A. Signature  
 Signature  Agent  Addressee

B. Received by (Printed Name) **John Shelley** C. Date of Delivery **2-22**

D. Is delivery address different from item 1?  Yes  No  
 If YES, enter delivery address below:

3. Service Type  
 Certified Mail  Express Mail  
 Registered  Return Receipt for Merchandise  
 Insured Mail  C.O.D.


4. Restricted Delivery? (Extra Fee)  Yes

**Provides:**  
 Delivery for your mailpiece.  
 Delivery kept by the Postal Service for two years.

**Restrictions:**  
 May ONLY be combined with First-Class Mail® or Priority Mail®.  
 Not available for any class of international mail.  
 INSURANCE COVERAGE IS PROVIDED with Certified Mail. For more information, see Consider Insured or Registered Mail.  
 For an additional fee, a Return Receipt may be requested to provide proof of delivery. In Return Receipt service, please complete and attach a Return Receipt Form 3811 to the article and add applicable postage to cover the mailpiece "Return Receipt Requested". To receive a fee waiver for Return Receipt, a USPS® postmark on your Certified Mail receipt is required.  
 If Restricted Delivery is desired, delivery may be restricted to the addressee or authorized agent. Advise the clerk or mark the mailpiece with the "Restricted Delivery" label.  
 If a Certified Mail receipt is desired, please present the article to the office for postmarking. If a postmark on the Certified Mail receipt is desired, detach and affix label with postage and mail.  
 Keep this receipt and present it when making an inquiry.  
 PS Form 3811 (Reverse) PSN 7530-02-000-9047

PS Form 3811, February 2004 Domestic Return Receipt 102595-02-M-154

UNITED STATES POSTAL SERVICE



First-Class Mail  
 Postage & Fees Paid  
 USPS  
 Permit No. G-10

• Sender: Please print your name, address, and ZIP+4 in this box •

Nicole Rupp  
 CORBYN HAMPTON, PLLC  
 211 North Robinson, Suite 1910  
 Oklahoma City, Oklahoma 73102

*Depo subpoena*



**Postal Service™**  
**CERTIFIED MAIL™ RECEIPT**  
 (Mail Only; No Insurance Coverage Provided)

For more information visit our website at [www.usps.com](http://www.usps.com)  
**OFFICIAL USE**

Postage	\$ 1.61
Postage and Fees	2.80
Postage and Fees (Required)	2.30
Postage and Fees (Required)	4.50
Postage and Fees	\$ 10.21

Postmark Here

Timothy Headington  
 3515 Crescent Avenue  
 Dallas, TX 75205

August 2006 See Reverse for Instructions

**SENDER: COMPLETE THIS SECTION**

- Complete items 1, 2, and 3. Also complete item 4 if Restricted Delivery is desired.
- Print your name and address on the reverse so that we can return the card to you.
- Attach this card to the back of the mailpiece, or on the front if space permits.

1. Article Addressed to:  
 Timothy Headington  
 3515 Crescent Avenue  
 Dallas, TX 75205

2. Article Number  
 (Transfer from service label) 7010 0780 0002 1469 3062

**COMPLETE THIS SECTION ON DELIVERY**

A. Signature   Agent  Addressee  
 B. Received by (Printed Name) \_\_\_\_\_ C. Date of Delivery \_\_\_\_\_

D. Is delivery address different from item 1?  Yes  No  
 If YES, enter delivery address below: \_\_\_\_\_  
*Alvin 2/22/11*

3. Service Type  
 Certified Mail  Express Mail  
 Registered  Return Receipt for Merchandise  
 Insured Mail  C.O.D.  
 4. Restricted Delivery? (Extra Fee)  Yes

PS Form 3811, February 2004 Domestic Return Receipt 102595-02-M-1541

**What it Provides:**

Postmark  
 Return Receipt for your mailpiece  
 Delivery kept by the Postal Service for two years

**Restrictions:**  
 Certified Mail may ONLY be combined with First-Class Mail® or Priority Mail®. It is not available for any class of international mail.  
 INSURANCE COVERAGE IS PROVIDED with Certified Mail. For more information consider Insured or Registered Mail.  
 For an additional fee, a Return Receipt may be requested to provide proof of mailing. For Return Receipt service, please complete and attach a Return Receipt (Form 3811) to the article and add applicable postage to cover the mailpiece. "Return Receipt Requested". To receive a fee waiver for Return Receipt, a USPS® postmark on your Certified Mail receipt is required.

Additional fee, delivery may be restricted to the addressee or authorized agent. Advise the clerk or mark the mailpiece with the "Restricted Delivery".  
 If a Return Receipt on the Certified Mail receipt is desired, please present the article to the post office for postmarking. If a postmark on the Certified Mail receipt is needed, detach and affix label with postage and mail.

Keep this receipt and present it when making an inquiry.  
 August 2006 (Reverse) PSN 7530-02-000-9047

UNITED STATES POSTAL SERVICE



First-Class Mail  
 Postage & Fees Paid  
 USPS  
 Permit No. G-10

• Sender: Please print your name, address, and ZIP+4 in this box •  
 Nicole Rupp  
 CORBYN HAMPTON, PLLC  
 211 North Robinson, Suite 1910  
 Oklahoma City, Oklahoma 73102  
*Deposition subpoena and subpoena Once Tecum*

